

SECRET

Complaint: 2

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000300020017-3

SPEED LETTER		REPLY REQUESTED		DATE 28 October 1970
		YES	X NO	LETTER NO. PSG/AID-325/70
TO : THRU: FROM:	Executive Director, NPIC Chief, Production Services Group	FROM: Deputy Chief, Automated Information Division, PSG		
<p>1. I still have reservations regarding the ability of the Director of OCS to control ADP throughout the Agency. It seems to me that if he is charged with this responsibility, he is in the position of "responsibility without authority" since the other ADP components are embedded in the line organizations which they serve. Regarding planning and coordination, I agree with your statement.</p> <p>2. I am still concerned about "project review". I am convinced that line management has to accept responsibility for the ADP projects which are designed to meet its requirements, rather than to pass that responsibility to an outside review board.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Julien</i> SIGNATURE</p>				
REPLY			DATE	
<p style="text-align: right;">Declass Review by NIMA/DOD</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SIGNATURE</p>				

SECRET

A

28 Oct '70

Executive Dir:

Comments on Aspin Paper.

John:

As I noted in my comments to if the ^{25X1} agency establishes an ADP advisor I feel he should operate out of a higher level than the Director of Computer Services. I view this person as the policy establisher & direction pointer for the agency. To do this I feel he should be higher than an office director & not a line director.

Steve J.

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

#

28 Oct 70

John:

Just saw comments. 25X1

I also agree with her #2.

Project review comes with management. It is my opinion it should stay there & not be handed to someone outside the center. I feel we are in a better position to say what comes first.

S. Jo

~~SECRET~~